COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN





### ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

ORIGINAL

June 17, 2016

2016 JUN 20 A 11: 40

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

To: Docket Control

RE: Arizona Public Service - Customer Comments

Docket No. E-01345A-16-0036

Please docket the attached <u>392</u> customer comments opposing the above filed case.

Customer comments can be reviewed in E-docket under the above docket number.

Filed by: Utilities Division - Consumer Services

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JUN 2 0 2016

DOCKETED BY

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Deborah Reagan Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 6/16/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132332 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed Closed Date: 6/17/2016 10:50 AM

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION Account Name: PETITION

PETITION

Address:

City: Zip Code:

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

Received the following identical comments from 131 customers opposed to the proposed rate case -

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Michael Mandell Norman Smith Doreen Dolci

David Robinson Joanne Robinson Marta Steckly

William Bowers Maria Encarnacion Frederick Atkari

Buddy Goad Linda Sivakoff Janet Gambill

Shirley Goad Margaret Porter Donna Roasa

# Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Wendell Jones Michae

Michael Webb

Nancy Byrne

**Edward Schroeder** 

Nicholas Gubelli

**Javier Castro** 

Kathryn McDevitt

Freddie Ram

James Himes

Mona Hasso

Michelle Brenegan

James Smith

Michael Dayton

Georgene Juul

**Robert Snelling** 

Jolene Piaskowski

Michelle Tallent

Carolyn Pakan

Michael Houlihan

Catherine Welty

W Williams

**Mary Chrest** 

Kathy Holboke

**Darlene Tussing** 

Robert Holboke

Judith Crim

Vicente Ruiz

Gerald McCormack

Lawrence Goldberg

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

6/16/2016

Deborah Reagan

Telephone

Investigation

Comments noted for the record and docketed.

## Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Deborah Reagan Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 6/17/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132328 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Other Closed Date: 6/17/2016 11:44 AM

First Name: Timothy Last Name: Lyon Account Name: Timothy Lyon

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Sun City State: AZ Zip Code: 85351

Home: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

#### **Nature Of Opinion**

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

Commissioners, With the news of the FBI's investigation into APS and the ACC in the matter of "dark money" being used to elect "utility friendly" commissioners, it would only seem right to cancel all rate case matters concerning APS before the commission until this investigation is finished. We, the people, in APS's territory, are at the mercy of the Arizona Coorporate Commission's decisions. If this investigation finds that APS has funded, through dark money, ACC commissioners bid to sit on the commission and were therfore elected to the commission, then we, the people, are being frauded by the commission. You are not looking out for the best interests of all, you are only looking out for those that give you money under the table. Timothy M. Lyon Capt. USAF, Ret.

Investigation

Date: Submitted By: Type:

6/17/2016 Deborah Reagan Telephone Investigation

Comments entered for the record and filed with Docket Control.

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Michael Buck Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 6/13/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132224 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Other - Net Metering Closed Date: 6/13/2016 9:38 AM

Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION Account Name: PETITION

PETITION

Address:

City: State: Zip Code:

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

For Assignment Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

Received 40 e-mails in opposition all with similar wording/thoughts as the following:

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges. Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Barbara Lucas Janice Santella Jeanne Freeland David Tooley Ethel McClintock Rhonda Borst

Lani Janis Sandy Draus Theresa Calderon Pasquale Consenza Cornelia Bayley Lee Garrone

#### **Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form**

**Investigator:** Trish Meeter

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>

**Opinion Date:** 6/10/2016

**Opinion Number: 2016 - 132198** 

Priority: Respond within 5 business days

**Opinion Codes:** 

Rate Case Items - Opposed

Closed Date: 6/10/2016 3:13 PM

First Name: Richard

Last Name: McKee

Account Name: Richard McKee

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Glendale

State: AZ

**Zip Code: 85308** 

Home: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Arizona Public Service Company

#### **Nature Of Opinion**

Numerous reasons why customer is opposed to the increase in rates proposed by the company.

12 million \$ for president (parent company) is unacceptable and no increase should be asked for. The solar problem that APS is having is self induced. Company's performance has brought nothing to AZ. 4 Corners is a liability and customers should not be held responsible for costs relating to it. Maintenance costs should not be part of the rate base. With the temporary lines laying on the ground rather than being addressed, and the costs to guard those line, could be better serviced by addressing in a timely fashion. Believes that Palo Verde is not owned by APS but rather Burcher Hathoway. Palo Verde costs should not be a burden to the customer.

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

**Submitted By:** 

Type:

6/10/2016

Trish Meeter

Telephone

Investigation

docketed

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Michael Buck Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 6/14/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132282 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Other - Net Metering Closed Date: 6/14/2016 3:08 PM

Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: Deborah Last Name: Menke Account Name: Deborah Menke

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Phoenix State: AZ Zip Code: 85032

Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Regards,

Deborah Menke

<<< REDACTED >>>

Phoenix, AZ 85032

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

6/14/2016

Michael Buck

Telephone

Investigation

Opinion 132282 - Page 1 of 2

### **Arizona Corporation Commission**Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Mary Mee Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 6/16/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132339 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed Closed Date: 6/17/2016 11:45 AM

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION Account Name: PETITION

PETITION

Address:

City: State: Zip Code:

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

Received the following identical comments from 107 customers opposed to the proposed rate case.

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 4:35 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject the APS attack on consumers

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Regards,

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Mary Mee Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 6/15/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132319 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed Closed Date: 6/16/2016 9:03 AM

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION Account Name: PETITION

**PETITION** 

Address:

City: State: Zip Code:

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

Received the following identical comments from 76 customers opposed to the proposed rate case.

Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2016 8:16 AM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox < Utilities Div@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject the APS attack on consumers

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Regards,

#### Submitted by:

1. Donald Nielsen 2. Jodi Link 3. Leigh Frazier 4. Amy Hammond 5. Jennifer Adair 6. William McVeigh 7. Michael Ryan 8. Briana Beck 9. JAMES Rawlins 10. Robert Baldesari 11. Roberta Henning 12. Kelly Gustafson 13. Francesca Balajadia 14. Lucy Cleland 15. Kimila McFarland 16. Carolyn Arnott 17. Kathleen Harris 18. Chris Kmotorka 19. Gary Tice 20. James Dowen 21. Brian Cullinan 22. Diane lanoue 23. Robert Coffman 24. daniel luparello 25. Thomas Blondi 26. Sanford Chotiner 27. Gerald Rittersdorf 28. Christina Fox 29. Deborah Paulk 30. Jessica Martinez 31. Ralph Lenzmeier 32. James

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Mary Mee Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 6/15/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132266 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 6/15/2016 11:06 AM

Rate Case Items - Solar Opposed

First Name: Lloyd Last Name: Green Account Name: Lloyd Green

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Surprise State: AZ Zip Code: 85374

Cell: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

#### **Nature Of Opinion**

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036 Docket Position: Against

I am not aware of the Docket Number but can only reference my comments to a letter I received from APS. This letter stated that APS had filed a proposal with ACC to change how their rates are structured. This rate structure change would have a large negative impact on solar customers (current and future). APS went on to state in their letter that "you will not be impacted". Of course one reads further to find that current users would not be impacted for 20 years. I would suggest that if given an inch they will take the mile and we would see other adjustments over that 20 year period that would make any "grandfathering in" purely symbolic and a joke. We have solar. It was a large expense and investment for my family. But I made the decision not so much because of what we could gain or sell back to APS but rather solar makes sense and saves in many areas. Our budget only allowed a unit large enough to help with the summer heat – but that enables us to provide a bit more cooling to our family and help us meet our monthly budget. Please, refuse any proposals submitted that changes the way APS bills solar customers. This is nothing more than a grab at something they say they support but in actuality the opportunity to reap larger profits undermines their public support. We already pay a premium on our bill for them to "manage" our solar. You should be doing everything possible to encourage the use of energy alternatives. Any vote in favor of APS is a step backwards. Why does big business always get to dictate when common sense, on this topic, has proven them wrong? I remember voting for each of you and based on what I had researched and read, I felt you would do the right thing for us, the consumer. Please do the right thing and say no to APS. With sincere thanks, Lloyd Green

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

6/15/2016

Mary Mee

Web Submission

Investigation

Comments noted for the record and docketed. CLOSED

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Trish Meeter Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 6/17/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 132384 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed Closed Date: 6/17/2016 1:21 PM

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: Petition Last Name: Petition Account Name: Petition Petition

Address:

City: State: Zip Code:

Company: Arizona Public Service Company Division: Electric

**Nature Of Opinion** 

Docket Number: E-01345A-16-0036

34 opinions in opposition of the proposed rate increase and demand charges

Susan escobedo John Mollerus Jim Crandall

Yvonne Parra Edith Puskar sammy Rashty

George Ripley Benetta Jones William Young

Virginia Jean Joel Piaskowski Victor Cooper

Paul Byrne Leartrs Gordey Vincent Lostetter

James Elias David Hertel Judy Coker

Mark Johnson Gonzalo Cavazos Frank Bing

Leron Bachmeier Sharon Campbell John Weber

Paul Garrison Margaret Gorman Joseph Cannon

John Gluhak Joan Michel Daleen Simmons

Thomas Johnson Gregg Simmons Phillip Soltvedt

Lois Van Heusen

Dear Commissioners Arizona Corporation Commission,

As an Arizona ratepayer, I am deeply troubled by the extreme proposal submitted by APS in docket E-01345A-16-0036.

### Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

The proposal to move over a million customers onto mandatory demand charges is unprecedented and will require customers to constantly monitor their energy use out of fear of exorbitant charges.

Further, consumers have no way to determine when these surge prices are triggered.

The elimination of net metering is intended to penalize customers who intend to make substantial private investments to reduce energy and contribute to more resilient Arizona energy future. This would mean the loss of thousands of jobs in addition to consumer choice, and is a brazen attempt by a monopoly utility to eliminate free market competition.

I call on you to reject this proposal, and any other that seeks to impose discriminatory charges on ratepayers to pad monopoly utility profits. Arizona residents will be watching your actions on this issue.

Investigation

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:
6/17/2016 Trish Meeter Telephone Investigation

docketed